



A. =

Vikram
1911/10
Vishwanath Singh

3. ^R Janardan Rai Nagar, Rajasthan, Vidyapeeth (Deemed) university, Pratap Nagar, Udaypur, through, its Director, Janardan Rai Nagar, Rajasthan, Vidyapeeth (Deemed) University, Pratap Nagar, Udaypur, Rajasthan.
4. Distance Education Council, through, Its Director, Maidan Gadhi, New Delhi, 110068
5. All Indian Council for Technical Education (a statutory body of State of India), Through its Director, All India Council for Technical Education, 7th Floor, Chandarlok Building, Janpath, New Delhi.



₹ 1400/-

4

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR**


W.P. (S) NO. 5537 /2009

SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONERS

- 1] S.K. Bharti, S/o. Shri Ganesh Prasad Bharti, Aged about 44 years, R/o. Rajendra Nagar, Irrigation Colony, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (CG)
- 2] Anil Kumar Soni, S/o. Shri Kanhaiyalal Soni, aged about 49 years, Sub-Engineer, Water Resources Sub-Division, Kota, District Bilaspur (CG)
- 3] Manas Prasad Rathore, S/o. Shri K.R. Rathore, aged about 50 years, R/o. In front of Atmaram Chal, Ward No.14, Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa (CG)
- 4] T.R. Anchal, S/o. Late Shri Budhram Anchal, Aged about 43 years, Sub-Engineer, Office of Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Masturi, District Bilaspur (CG)
- 5] Anil Chand Rahi, S/o. Shri M.C. Rahi, Aged about 46 years, Sub-Engineer, National Water Management Sub-Division Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (CG)
- 6] Kumar Dahire, S/o. Late Shri G.R. Dahire, Aged about 45 years, Sub-Engineer, Water Resources Sub-Division Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (CG)
- 7] V.K. Thakre, S/o. Late Shri R.V. Thakre, Aged about 46 years, Sub-Engineer Kharang Water Resources Sub-Division Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (CG)

P.R. No. 376169
Presented by S. V. Thakre
dated. 22/01/09



21134

A =

- 8] S.N. Baghel, S/o. Shri M.R. Baghel, Aged about 42 years, Sub-Engineer, Kharang Water Resources Sub-Division Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (CG)
- 9] R.K. Mittal, S/o. Late Shri M.K. Mittal, Aged about 50 years, Sub-Engineer, Kharang Water Resources Sub-Division Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (CG)
- 10] G.R. Dewangan, S/o. Shri S.R. Dewangan, aged about 53 years, Sub-Engineer, Water Resources Sub-Division Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (CG)
- 11] V.K. Khare, S/o. Shri R.R. Khare, aged about 48 years, Sub-Engineer, Water Resources Sub-Division Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (CG)
- 12] Shashi Bhushan Tiwari, S/o. Late Shri B.R. Tiwari, aged about 50 years, Sub-Engineer, National Water Management, Sub-Division Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (CG)
- 13] Kamleshwar Singh, S/o. Late Shri Sadhu Singh, aged about 46 years, Sub-Engineer, Water Resources Sub-Division Kota, District Bilaspur (CG)
- 14] Nakul Kurre, S/o. Late Shri C.R. Kurre, aged about 47 years, Sub-Engineer, Water Resources Sub-Division Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (CG)

VERSUS

- 1] State of Chhattisgarh, Through: Secretary, Water Resources Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur (CG)
- 2] Engineer-in-Chief, Water Resources Department, Sihawa Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur (CG)



RESPONDENTS

Amendment as per
Court order dated
18/11/2010

Vijendra Singh
11/11/2010

6

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1] PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER(S):

As stated above in the cause title.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP(S) no. 5537/09 सन् 20

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p><u>SB : Hon'ble Shri Manindra Mohan Shrivastava, J.</u> <u>30-04-13</u></p> <p>Shri Manoj Paranjpe, counsel for the petitioner/s. Shri Arun Sao, Govt. Advocate for the State. Shri Sushil Dhuri, counsel for respondent no.3. Shri Shivendu Pandya, counsel for respondent No.4. Ms. Renu Singh, counsel for respondent No.5.</p> <p>This petition has been filed by the petitioners, who are aggrieved by the action of the respondents whereby the names of the petitioners have been deleted from the gradation list of Graduate Sub-Engineers on the ground that the degree possessed by the petitioners cannot be recognised because the degree which has been obtained by the petitioners is from a technical institution / engineering college which is not approved by the All India Council of Technical Education.</p> <p>After notices were issued by this Court, the All India Council has filed return before this Court in W.P.(S) No.158/10. In the reply, it is stated that the degree and diploma obtained under the distance education programme, do not require approval and therefore, the AICTE has no role to play for granting recognition to such degrees / diplomas in the professional courses. It appears that the State Government had decided not to recognise petitioners' degree on the ground that though it requires approval by AICTE, it has actually not been approved by AICTE. However, from the return of AICTE, it appears that the AICTE, in such cases, does not grant approval</p>	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक
 Wp(3) no. 5537/09
 सन् 20

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
<p>Deepti</p>	<p>but there is some other mechanism under which such courses are recognised and approved. Therefore, in these circumstances, the State Government should now consider petitioners' degree by taking into consideration relevant aspects including the mechanism provided in cases relating to degree under distance education programme.</p> <p>The consideration regarding approval and recognition of petitioner's degree should be completed by the respondents within an outer limit of six weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, so that in the event of recognition granted by the State counsel, the petitioners may also get benefit of promotion as Graduate Engineers.</p> <p>The petition is accordingly finally disposed off.</p>	<p>Sd/- Manindra M. Shrivastava Judge</p>